

09/936080

Practitioner's Docket No. 325.089-US1

CHAPTER II

Preliminary Classification:

Proposed Class:

Subclass:

TRANSMITTAL LETTER
TO THE UNITED STATES ELECTED OFFICE (EO/US)

(ENTRY INTO U.S. NATIONAL PHASE UNDER CHAPTER II)

PCT/US00/07962	23 March 2000 (23.03.00)	25 March 1999 (25.03.99)
International Application Number	International Filing Date	International Earliest Priority Date

TITLE OF INVENTION: Simulator Cart

APPLICANT(S): Fluor Corporation; Brayton, Dwight D.; Romero, Stephen G.; Ghormley, Christopher S.; and Dallas, Mark E.

Box PCT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington D.C. 20231


ATTENTION: EO/US

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.10*

(Express Mail label number is **mandatory**.)

(Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any document referred to, is being deposited with the United States Postal EL751089902US Service on this date September 7, 2001 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," mailing Label Number addressed to the: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.


Sara L. Geer

WARNING: Certificate of mailing (first class) or facsimile transmission procedures of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.8 cannot be used to obtain a date of mailing or transmission for this correspondence.

***WARNING:** Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" **must** have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. Section 1.10(b).
"Since the filing of correspondence under [Section] 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

09/936080 09/936080

1. Applicant herewith submits to the United States Elected Office (EO/US) the following items under 35 U.S.C. Section 371:

- a. This express request to immediately begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. Section 371(f)).
- b. The U.S. National Fee (35 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(1)) and other fees (37 C.F.R. Section 1.492) as indicated below:

2. Fees

CLAIMS FEE*	(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) CALCULATIONS
BASIC FEE	TOTAL CLAIMS	13 - 20 =	0	x \$18.00 =	\$0.00
	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 - 3 =	1	x \$80.00 =	\$80.00
	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) + \$270.00				\$0.00
	U.S. PTO WAS INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION AUTHORITY Where an International preliminary examination fee as set forth in Section 1.482 has been paid on the international application to the U.S. PTO: and the international preliminary examination report states that the criteria of novelty, inventive step (non-obviousness) and industrial activity, as defined in PCT Article 33(2) to (4) have been satisfied for all the claims presented in the application entering the national stage (37 C.F.R. Section 1.492(a)(4)) \$100.00				\$100.00
	Total of above Calculations				= \$180.00
SMALL ENTITY	Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Affidavit must be filed. (note 37 CFR Sections 1.9, 1.27, 1.28)				- \$0.00
	Subtotal				\$180.00
	Total National Fee				\$180.00
	Fee for recording the enclosed assignment document \$40.00 (37 C.F.R. Section 1.21(h)). See attached "ASSIGNMENT COVER SHEET".				\$0.00
	Total Fees enclosed				\$180.00
TOTAL					

JCS 0001 00 07 SEP 2001

A check in the amount of \$180.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.

3. A copy of the International application as filed (35 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(2)) is not required, as the application was filed with the United States Receiving Office.
4. A translation of the International application into the English language (35 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(2)) is not required as the application was filed in English.
5. Amendments to the claims of the International application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(3)) have not been transmitted. Applicant chose not to make amendments under PCT Article 19.

Date of mailing of Search Report (from form PCT/ISA/210): 4 October 2000.

6. A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (38 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(3)) is not required as the amendments were made in the English language.
7. A copy of the international examination report (PCT/IPEA/409) is not required as the application was filed with the United States Receiving Office.
8. Annex(es) to the international preliminary examination report is/are not required as the application was filed with the United States Receiving Office.
9. A translation of the annexes to the international preliminary examination report is not required as the annexes are in the English language.
10. An oath or declaration of the inventor (35 U.S.C. Section 371(c)(4)) complying with 35 U.S.C. Section 115 will follow.

II. Other document(s) or information included:

11. An International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210) or Declaration under PCT Article 17(2)(a) is not required, as the application was searched by the United States International Searching Authority.
12. An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. Sections 1.97 and 1.98 will be transmitted within THREE MONTHS of the date of submission of requirements under 35 U.S.C. Section 371(c).
13. The above items are being transmitted before 30 months from any claimed priority date.

AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ADDITIONAL FEES

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following additional fees that may be required by this paper and during the entire pendency of this application to Account No.: 500341

RECEIVED - 03092001

37 C.F.R. Section 1.492(a)(1), (2), (3), and (4) (filing fees)

37 C.F.R. Section 1.492(b), (c), and (d) (presentation of extra claims)

37 C.F.R. Section 1.17 (application processing fees)

37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(5) (extension fees pursuant to Section 1.136(a))

37 C.F.R. Section 1.492(e) and (f) (surcharge fees for filing the declaration and/or filing an English translation of an International Application later than 20 months after the priority date).

Date:

9/7/01



Robert D. Fish
Registration No. 33880
Fish & Associates, LLP
1440 N. Harbor Blvd.
Suite 706
Fullerton, CA 92835
USA
714-449-2337
Customer No. 24392

28 pgs

SIMULATOR CART

This application claims the benefit of U.S. provisional application number 60/126060 incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

5 Field of The Invention

The field of the invention is test and simulator systems.

Background of The Invention

Control systems incorporating PLCs (Programmable Logic Controllers) and DCSs (Distributed Control Systems) are frequently used to control real world processes by
10 accepting inputs which typically originate from sensors such as, among others, those used to sense temperature, flow, level, radiation, light, movement, and pressure, and those used in generating outputs which are used to drive actuators such as hydraulic devices, valves, lights, and motors. Control systems can often be viewed as having a control component and an interface component, with one or both components having both hardware and software sub-
15 components. Thus a PLC based device might utilize a digital PLC having embedded software as the control component (the "controller"), with an interface component (the "I/O interface") (a) accepting signals from sensors and converting them into a form acceptable to the PLC, and (b) accepting outputs from the PLC and converting them to signals suitable as inputs to the actuators. In such systems, the controller and I/O interface are often connected by one or
20 more paths (the "controller-I/O communication channel) to allow communication and control signals to pass between the controller and the I/O interface. Similarly, the I/O interface is, after the control system is installed in its operating environment, connected via one or more electrical paths (the "field wiring") to the components from which the control system receives its inputs, and to the components to which the control system directs its outputs, with the I/O
25 interface being provided with a plurality of connectors ("field I/O connectors") which facilitate connecting the I/O interface with the field wiring. Many control systems will also incorporate a human-machine-interface (HMI) component comprising hardware and or software for facilitating operator interaction with the control system.

Although methods and devices for testing control systems are known, they generally
30 have individual strengths and weaknesses which make them more appropriate in some

situations and less appropriate in other. Thus, there is a continuing need for improved methods and apparatus for control system testing.

Summary of the Invention

Methods and apparatus are provided which allow control systems to be tested.

- 5 Specifically, a test system is coupled to a control system in a manner which allows the test system to communicate with and drive the control system by sending and receiving signals via both the controller-I/O communication channel and the field I/O connectors. In essence, the test system is used to both simulate a plant to be controlled and to monitor, validate, and or modify the internal state of the control system controller and possibly the control system
- 10 I/O interface. Plant simulation is accomplished by simulating the I/O devices to which the control system is coupled (and hence the plant processes) when installed in its operational environment. In addition to the simulation of I/O devices, the test system takes advantage of the fact that many commonly used controller and I/O interfaces are capable of communication with other devices by using such communications ability to provide instructions to or obtain
- 15 information from a control system's controller(s) and I/O interface(s).

- It is contemplated that the methods and apparatus disclosed herein will provide an effective approach to connecting a control system to be tested to simulated I/O devices and controlling and monitoring the simulated I/O devices so as to produce inputs to the control system, to record outputs from the control system, and to compare the recorded outputs
- 20 against an expected value and record the result of the comparison for reporting purposes.

It is also contemplated that the methods and apparatus disclosed herein will provide an effective approach to the staging and testing of PLC/HMIs (Programmable Logic Controllers/Human Machine Interfaces) and DCSs (Distributed Control Systems) before delivery to the field.

- 25 It is also contemplated that the methods and apparatus disclosed herein will provide an effective mechanism for validating the operation of a control system once it has been installed in a plant to be controlled.

Various objects, features, aspects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the following detailed description of preferred embodiments of the

invention, along with the accompanying drawings in which like numerals represent like components.

Brief Description of The Drawings

Fig. 1 is a schematic view of a simulator cart embodying the invention.

5 Fig. 2 is an alternative schematic view of the simulator cart of figure 1.

Fig. 3 is a schematic view of a simulator coupled to a plant control system.

Fig. 4A is a diagram of a preferred layout for components on a first side of a cart based simulator.

10 Fig. 4B is a diagram of a preferred layout for components on a second side of the simulator of Fig. 4a

Fig. 5A is a wiring diagram illustrating a preferred method of interconnection for the components of the simulator of Fig. 4A.

Fig. 5B is a wiring diagram illustrating a preferred method of interconnection for the components of the simulator of Fig. 4B.

15 Fig. 6 is a diagram of a preferred layout for components in a "briefcase" based simulator.

Fig. 7 is a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to select which test section to run.

20 Fig. 8 is a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to select whether to run a particular test or an entire test procedure.

Fig. 9 is a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to perform a first test.

Fig. 10 is a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein the operator is being prompted to enter the results of the test of Fig. 9.

Fig. 11 is a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to perform a second test.

Fig. 12 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

Fig. 13 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

5 Fig. 14 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

Fig. 15 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

Fig. 16 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

Fig. 17 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

Fig. 18 is a diagram of a simulator HMI database table.

10 Fig. 19 is a diagram of a first method embodying the invention.

Fig. 20 is a diagram of a second method embodying the invention.

Fig. 21 is a diagram of a third method embodying the invention.

Fig. 22 is a diagram of a fourth method embodying the invention.

Fig. 23 is a diagram of a fifth method embodying the invention.

15 Fig. 24 is a diagram of a sixth method embodying the invention.

Fig. 25 is a diagram of a seventh method embodying the invention.

Detailed Description

Referring to figures 1-3, a simulator cart test system ("SIMCart") 10 is coupled to a control system to be tested ("target CS") 20. SIMCart 10 includes rolling enclosure 110, I/O simulator modules 120, HMI/configurator 130, hardcopy device 140, wiring harnesses 150, and communication channel 160 connections 161 and 163. Target CS 20 includes enclosure 210, I/O interfaces 220, field I/O connectors 225, HMI 230, communication channel 160 connections 261 and 263, and PLC 270. SIMCart 10 uses wiring harnesses 150 to send and

receive I/O signals to and from I/O interfaces 220 so as to simulate the input devices/sensor 50 and output devices/actuators 40 which would be connected via the field wiring 41 and 51 to target CS 20 when target CS 20 is installed. SIMCart 10 uses communications channel 160 to obtain register and status information from I/O interfaces 220, HMI 130 and PLC 270.

5 By monitoring the internal state of and data flow between I/O interfaces 220, HMI 130 and PLC 270, SIMCart 10 is better able to isolate any problems which may occur in target CS 20 during testing as occurring in a particular subsystem of target CS 20. The manner in which SIMCart 10 is coupled to target CS 20 also permits SIMCart 10 to test multiple I/O channels and or subsystems of target CS 20 simultaneously.

10 The SIMCart is automatically initialized by utilizing communications channel 160 to query target CS 20 to obtain information which allows SIMCart 10 to perform automatic initialization and mapping of its input and outputs to those of target CS 20. SIMCart 10 incorporates a knowledge base which includes characteristics of known control system hardware and software components in performing such automatic initialization. It is
15 contemplated that the knowledge base might be extended to include mathematical models of standard components such as sensors and actuators to allow SIMCart 10 to better simulate such components and to allow automatic test generation for target systems which will be coupled to such components. Figures 12-18 show some of the fields and data which might be used in maintaining such a knowledge base.

20 SIMCart 10 is contemplated as being beneficial in the development and off-site testing of target CS 20 by simulating the plant which target CS 20 is intended to control. If the capacity of a single SIMCart 10 is insufficient to simulate an entire plant, multiple SIMCarts 10 can be used to achieve the simulation. Such a combination of SIMCarts 10 may utilize multiple HMI 130 devices, or may share a single HMI 130. SIMCart 10 is also
25 contemplated as being beneficial for off-site training of personnel in the operation of target CS 20 when SIMCart 10 is used in conjunction with target CS 20. Such off-site use of SIMCart 10 is contemplated as providing, among others, complete testing of all target CS 20 inputs and resulting outputs before field installation

On-site testing is also contemplated as benefiting from the use of SIMCart 10, either
30 in its entirety or only its configurator/HMI portion. Once target CS 20 is installed in its

operational environment, configurator 130 can be used as a test and validation tool. The configurator 130 can provide step-by-step instructions to a field tester which, if followed, result in a desired level of testing of target CS 20. By doing so, configurator 130 essentially controls the test process through the field tester. By requiring feedback from the field tester upon the completion of various portions of the testing, a test report which includes the tests steps performed and the tester responses can be generated so as to provide assurance that the required level of testing has been completed. Such "controlled" testing thus provides a method of repeatable, validated and verified testing. Configurator 130 can also be used to record the results of such testing and to generate reports in the field which provide feedback as to the current state of target CS 20 and the field wiring to which target CS 20 is coupled.

If the entire SIMCard 10 is used on-site, SIMCard 10 can be interchanged with selected inputs and outputs of target CS 20 so as to allow "live" inputs to cause target CS 20 to control simulated actuator components, or to use simulated inputs to cause target CS 20 to control "live" actuator components, or to mix and match live and simulated inputs and outputs as desired. If configurator 130 is still attached to the rest of SIMCard 10 during testing, and if SIMCard 10 is coupled to target CS 20 during testing, configurator 130 can control the test process both through direct interaction with target CS 20 and through a test operator as previously discussed.

Rolling enclosure 110 of SIMCard 10 is preferred to be sized and dimensioned to house I/O simulator modules 120 and any necessary supporting systems in a manner which facilitates the use of SIMCard 10 and its interconnection with target CS 20.

I/O simulator modules 120 may comprise PLCs or smart I/O systems. The SIMCard 10 of figure 1 utilizes several Sixnet I/O related products including ST-DI-024-16H, ST-PS-024-02N, ST-DO-DC2-16H, ST-AI-20M-16H, ST-AO-20M-08F, ST-DI-120-08U, ST-DO-AC1-08U, and ST-GT-ETH-24P. Details of the preferred Sixnet products are attached to the provisional application as part of its disclosure, said provisional application having previously been incorporated by reference herein. Figures 4A and 4B provide a diagram of a preferred layout of components in SIMCard 10, and Figures 5A and 5B provide corresponding wiring diagrams showing a preferred method for interconnecting the components of SIMCard

10.

An alternative embodiment utilizes a smaller number of components so that a SIMCart type simulator can be fit within a carrying case. Figure 6 provides a diagram of a preferred layout of components in such an embodiment.

HMI/configurator 130 of SIMCart 10 is contemplated as being a general purpose
5 computer such as a laptop PC ("PC"). Portability is particularly desirable as it facilitates the transportation of HMI 130 into the field to support on-site testing of an installed target CS
20. HMI 130 is contemplated as incorporating software which allows testing of alarm responses (including deadbands), loops, and logic, and reporting of HMI readouts, trend graphs, alarms, reports, system requirements, etc. Test results are stored in the form of
10 textual data and time stamped data, graphs, and tester responses to prompted questions.

In a preferred embodiment, HMI 130 includes a multi-tasking operating system,
preferably Windows NT™, which is capable of supporting a graphical user interface ("GUI")
and a relational database system (preferably Microsoft Access™), as well as software for
controlling I/O simulator modules 120, and testing target CS 20. HMI 130 is also preferred to
15 include an Ethernet network interface card for connection to communication channel 160
which is preferred to be an TCP/IP based Ethernet network. It is also preferred that HMI 130
of SIMCart 10 be detachable from the rest of SIMCart 10 to allow it to be used independently
as an onsite verification tool.

HMI/configurator 130 provides for downloading test relational databases, initiating
20 tests and capturing tester responses and comments, and generating reports. The test databases include test cases, expected results for test comparison and exception generation. An overall test planner database organizes the sequence of test events. Being as the test databases are derived from the requirements database, the system owner is assured of lock-step configuration control and traceable requirements testing.

25 It is preferred that configurator 130 utilize a relational database coupled to a GUI to allow entry of and storage of test requirements at a high level, and to provide tools for deriving lower level sets of test instructions from such high level requirements in a manner which maintains a correlation between lower level test sets and higher level requirements. By maintaining such a correlation, changes to high level requirements can be quickly, and

sometimes automatically, incorporated into the lower level test sets. Such lower level test sets will be used to drive and control both automatic and interactive testing of target CS 20. By maintaining a correlation between high level and low level requirements, reports showing the test steps used to verify satisfaction of the high level requirements through performance of the low level test sets can be generated.

It is contemplated that the relational database used by configurator 130 to maintain test requirements and instructions should provide for the specification of: (1) initial values, an operational range, a deadband, and a physical range for each I/O component to be tested to allow the testing of alarms when values are within and outside of such ranges; (2) standardized questions for prompting the operator to perform various functions or to provide responses; (3) sets of instructions and questions for interactive testing (or possibly automatic testing) of the HMI portion of target CS 20; (4) parameters for PID loop testing; (5) parameters for indicator testing; (6) general target system requirements, as well as supporting any other tables required for proper functioning of SIMCart 10. Figure 7 provides a screen capture image of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to select a test section to run. Figure 8 provides a screen capture image of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted whether to run a particular test or an entire test procedure. Figure 9 provides a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to perform a test. Figure 10 provides a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to enter the result of the test of Figure 9. Figure 11 provides a screen capture of a simulator HMI screen wherein an operator is being prompted to perform another test.

Hardcopy device 140 is preferred to be a printer suitable for connection to HMI 130, and for printing hardcopy of tests, test results, and audit information as well as other reports discussed herein.

Wiring harnesses 150 may take on almost any form so long as they properly transmit I/O signals between SIMCart 10 and target CS 20. However, it is preferred that wiring harnesses 150 be chosen to facilitate the coupling of target CS 20 to SIMCart 10 and the simulation of the field wiring to which target CS 20 will be coupled in its operational environment. It is contemplated that several different harnesses may be included with the

SIMCart 10 with each harness being designed to facilitate the coupling of SIMCart 10 to a particular type of target CS 20. The inclusion of such pre-configured harnesses is contemplated as facilitating the use of SIMCart 10 to test a variety of standard control systems such as Allen-Bradley PLC-5 controllers or Siemens S7 controllers..

5 Communication channel 160 is preferred to be a TCP/IP based Ethernet network and is preferred to be shared between SIMCart 10 and target CS 20 to allow flexible interaction between HMI 130, I/O simulator modules 120, HMI 230, PLC 270, and I/O interface 220. However, it is contemplated that other communication methods such as RS-232 interfaces, token ring networks, FDDI networks, proprietary control busses, etc. may also be used to
10 provide a communication channel between one or more components of SIMCart 10 and target CS 20.

As previously discussed, the HMI/configurator portion 130 of SIMCart 10 is useful both in the operation of SIMCart 10, but also as a detachable validation and/or training tool. It is contemplated that HMI/configurator 130 comprise the software and one or more
15 databases necessary to allow it to perform its training and/or validation functions. Such functions might include, among others, the ability to control access by requiring an operator to log in, the ability to simulate a particular function and to test the capability of the target CS to respond to a sensor's entire range of input, the ability to prompt the operator to perform operations using the target CS HMI, and automatically performing tests against target CS
20 when such tests do not require manual operations by an operator.

Thus, a particular configurator embodiment is likely to have the ability to store and run multiple tests wherein each test comprises one or more steps. In running a particular test or sequence of test steps, the configurator will prompt the operator to perform a function on the target CS whenever manual intervention by the operator is required, but will automatically
25 (i.e. without operator action) run through any tests steps which do not require such manual intervention. Thus, to test a pump, the configurator may prompt an operator to turn on the pump, then, after the operator has indicated to the configurator that he has turned on the pump, the configurator will ask a question to verify proper operation of the pump such as "Did the pump indicator light turn on?" which the operator can either respond to affirmatively
30 or negatively. If negatively, the configurator will prompt the operator to enter a comment as

to what response by the target CS was actually seen. During another step, the configurator may generate simulated events to which the target CS has automated responses which can be detected by the configurator and in which case the simulator will simply simulate the events and monitor the target CS's response. As an example, if a power voltage level exceeds a threshold amount the target CS may be required to cut off the source of power. Such a test would be performed by the simulator at the appropriate point in a test sequence by sending an over voltage signal to the target CS and monitoring the response of target CS to see if a power cutoff signal is sent. Test results, whether operator responses or automatically monitored events/values are also stored in one or more databases so that an exceptions report comparing actual target CS responses to expected results can be generated.

It is contemplated that the configurator will comprise a scalable library of test databases and test functions utilizing the test databases to perform the test steps. Utilizing database tables to store tests and test parameters allows a tester to add new tests and/or test scenarios, and also to modify parameters for existing tests. Such scalable libraries and dynamic updates make for a much more robust and flexible system.

Figures 12-18 show some of the fields and data which might be included. The table of Figure 12 is an "Alarm" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields TagName, Initial, LL_Limit, L_Limit, H_Limit, HH_Limit, and Deadband. The table of Figure 13 is an "Alarm G" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields Alm_Ind, QNum, Type, and Question. The table of Figure 14 is an "Indication" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields ID, TagName, Description, EUZero, EUMax, and Eunit. The table of Figure 15 is an "PID Loop" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields PID_Number, Loop_Desc, Process_TagName, Min_EU, Max_EU, and EU_Name_SetPoint_TagName. The table of Figure 16 is an "Section IQ" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields ID, SectionNum, SectionStep, and Instruction. The table of Figure 17 is a "Section List" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields Num and Name. The table of Figure 18 is an "SysReqs" table and includes, possibly among others, the fields ID, Section, Requirement, and Question.

SIMCart 10 is contemplated as being particularly well suited for a number of uses when taken to an operational facility and connected in place of all or part of the field wiring

including but not necessarily limited to: (1) testing existing logic for reaction to new scenarios; (2) testing new logic for operations; (3) training process operators prior to an operational campaign to a) score and validate operator readiness, b) identify deficient training areas, and c) ensure operational readiness as an overall team; (4) training process operators at the operational facility using by plugging SIMCart 10 in in place of the plant's actual sensors and actuators; (4) field trouble shooting of wiring and other field related problems.

First Example Application

An example application of SIMCart 10 is its in revalidating a PLC program used to manufacture a drug wherein the PLC does so by controlling ingredient weighing, heating, cooling, tank level control, pump control, and other portions of the manufacturing process. This example refers to target CS 20 as if it were the system to be re-validated. Revalidation of the PLC program of target CS 20 would involve first using the SIMCart 10 to perform off-site testing of target CS 20, and then detaching the HMI/Configurator from the rest of SIMCart 10 and taking it on-site in the field with target CS 20 when target CS 20 is installed at the drug production facility.

During off-site/lab testing, I/O simulation modules 120 are used to provide an input to each of the PLC I/O channels via field I/O connectors 225. The SIMCart automatic initialization routines create a mapping between the target PLC and SIMCart I/O channels. A tester would log into the SIMCart configurator computer/HMI 130 (requiring operators to log in facilitates future auditing). After logging in, test databases are downloaded from a requirements database that was established for target CS 20 at the project start. The test databases are then used to test all functions of the PLC, HMI and network including alarms, loops, and field wiring to satisfy the testing requirement contained in the test databases. Such testing is accomplished via a series of automatic tests, as well as tests which prompt the operator to perform certain actions and to provide responses/inputs to the HMI after performing such actions. The testing of alarms includes the testing of deadbands, and appropriate low-low, low, high, and high-high alarm ranges as well as other types of data deltas. All data can be stored electronically with a date and time stamp as well as with other audit information as necessary.

PCT/US 03/07962

IPEA/US 03 MAY 2001

Once off-site/lab testing is complete, the SIMCart configurator/HMI 130 is detached from the SIMCart and target CS 20 and taken to the field to be used onsite to test target CS 20 once it is installed in the drug production facility. In the field, the SIMCart configurator provides a field tester with a series of tests related to field I/O and wiring connections. For some tests, the field tester is prompted by the SIMCart configurator to instruct a technician, possibly via cell-phone, to input signals to the system which will be passed to target CS 20 via the field wiring. The SIMCart configurator 130 then prompts the field tester to enter responses answering questions related to the feedback HMI 230 of target CS 20 provided to the tester in response to the technician's inputs. All test questions and results are stored so as to allow a report of test results to be generated.

Second Example Application

Once test target CS 20 is installed in the drug production facility, SIMCart 10 can periodically be used to train process operators. Such training can be done on site, using the target CS 20 HMI by simply disconnecting target CS 20 from the plant's sensors and actuators, probably by disconnecting the field wiring, and connecting SIMCart 10 to target CS 20 in place of the sensors and actuators. Once target CS 20 is operating with simulated I/O rather than live sensors and actuators, operators are free to interact with target CS 20 without any fear of consequences to the plant. When used in such a manner, the test procedures used to validate target CS 20 may be used in training operators, or else SIMCart 20 may incorporate specific software and/or data for training operators. Such software and/or data may incorporate multi-media presentations such as training videos. SIMCart 20 may also work partially or completely independently of the operator so as to simulate events, track operator responses to the simulated events, and/or report on the quality of the operator's responses, without the operator having to interact with SIMCart 20 during training.

Thus, specific embodiments and applications of devices and methods for plant control system testing have been disclosed. It should be apparent, however, to those skilled in the art that many more modifications besides those already described (including the methods shown in Figures 19-26) are possible without departing from the inventive concepts herein. The inventive subject matter, therefore, is not to be restricted except in the spirit of the appended claims. Moreover, in interpreting both the specification and the claims, all terms should be

AMENDED SHEET

5

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of testing a plant control system comprising:
providing a control system to be tested, the control system having a controller-I/O communication channel and field I/O connectors;
providing a test system;
coupling the test system to the control system in a manner which allows the test system to communicate with and drive the control system by sending and receiving signals via both the controller-I/O communication channel and the field I/O connectors.
2. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
decoupling the test system from the control system;
at least partially installing the control system in a plant to be controlled;
utilizing at least a portion of the test system to validate the operation of the control system.
3. The method of claim 2 wherein validation of the control system involves coupling an I/O portion of the test system in place of at least some of the plant's sensors and actuators.
4. The method of claim 2 wherein validation is accomplished by utilizing at least a portion of the test system to validate the operation of the control system by having the test system provide instructions and questions to one or more plant operators thereby instructing them to perform various operations and prompting them to enter a description as to how the control system responded to the operations, and recording any operator entered descriptions.
5. The method of claim 4 further comprising a step of causing the test system to generate a report indicating which operations were performed and what the control system response was for any operations performed.
6. A method for testing a plant control system having a controller, a sensor input port, an actuator output port, a series of communication segments communicatively coupling the sensor input port to the controller such that an input signal applied to


the sensor input results in one or more signals traveling along each of the communication segments so as to notify the controller of the applied input signal, and a series of communication segments communicatively coupling the controller to the actuator output port such that a control signal generated by the controller results in an output signal being applied to the actuator output port, the method comprising:

providing a simulator and coupling the simulator to the control system at at least three points, wherein a first point is the sensor input port, a second point is a point from which data relating to the internal state of the controller can be obtained, and a third point is the actuator output port;
causing the simulator to apply a signal to the first point and to subsequently obtain data on the internal state of the controller and to monitor the actuator output port for any applied signals.

7. The method of claim 6 with the control system further comprising an I/O interface wherein coupling the simulator to the control system at the second point involves tying the simulator into a network used as a communication segment between the controller and the I/O interface.
8. The method of claim 7 wherein the I/O interface comprises at least two analog/digital converters with a first, input converter converting an analog input signal applied to the sensor input by the simulator or sensor into a digital signal which is transmitted to the controller; and a second, output converter converting a digital signal received by the I/O interface from the controller into an analog signal which is transmitted to the simulator or actuator.
9. The method of claim 8 wherein the simulator obtains data on the internal state of the controller by establishing a network connection with the controller and requesting that the controller report its internal state.
10. A plant sensor and actuator simulator for use in testing a plant control system comprising:
a configurator having a computer and software for entering and maintaining one or more databases including validation requirements and associated test

11. The simulator of claim 10 wherein the configurator databases comprise data on a plurality of plant sensors such that, for each control system sensor input, the configurator identifies the range of signals which can be produced by a sensor and identifies a series of signals to be used in testing the control system response to signals within and without entire range of signals.
12. A method for training process operators comprising:
- providing a plant comprising a HMI to a control system coupled to plant sensors and actuators and controlling a process;
 - disconnecting all of the sensors and actuators from the control system;
 - connecting a simulator to the control system in place of the sensors and actuators;
 - causing the simulator to simulate various plant events so that the operators can interact with the HMI as if such events were actually occurring.
13. The method of claim 12 wherein the simulator comprises a database of events and preferred responses, monitors operator responses to simulated events by monitoring the control system's internal state and/or outputs, compares the monitored response for a particular event to the preferred response to determine whether the operator succeeded or failed to provide the preferred response, and reporting on the operator's successes and/or failures in responding to the simulated events.

0936080 031200






Figure 2






FIG. 3


$$E_{\text{eff}} = 4A$$




VIEW B
SCALE: NONE


Fig 4B

WIRING CONNECTIONS

SCALE NONE



F. 5A



DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
SIMCASE LAYOUT

Fig 6

09936080 094200

202760" 0809E660

WO 00/65361

9 / 28

09/936080

PCT/US00/07962

SIMCart™

Select Test Section to Run

General
Input Manifold
Filtration
Column Separation
Output Manifold

RUN TEST

CANCEL

FLUOR GLOBAL SERVICES

25

FIG 7

SIMCart™

Partially complete procedure: Select Starting Test Step

- <START FROM BEGINNING>
- 1 I: Select PID test from test Q: Was the test successfully
 - 2 I: Select Totalizer test fro Q: Was the test successfully
 - 3 I: Select Indication test fr Q: Was the test successfully
 - 4 I: Select Indication test fr Q: Was the test successfully

CANCEL

RUN TEST

FLUOR GLOBAL SERVICES 27

F-16 8

2027ED 0809E66D

WO 00/65361

11 / 28

09/936080
PCT/US00/07962

SIMCart™

Running Test...

Step 3.1

Select PID test from test module menu. Run test for FIC_2001_01.

DONE

Was the test successfully completed?

YES

COMMENT

NO

PREV STEP

CURR STEP

1 of 8

NEXT STEP

Debug

Reset Bit

Set Bit

CANCEL

CLOSE

FLUOR GLOBAL SERVICES 29

F109

20210101 080936660

SIMCart™

Running Test...

Step 3.1

Select PID test from test module menu.
Run test for FIC_2001_01.

DONE

Was the test successfully completed?

YES

COMMENT

NO

PREV STEP

CURR STEP

OF 8

NEXT STEP

Debug

Reset Bit

Set Bit

CANCEL

CLOSE

Fig 10

202 FEB 08 09:56:50

WO 00/65361

13 / 28

09/936080
PCT/US00/07962

SIMCart™

Running Test...

Step 3.2.

Select Totalizer test from test
module menu. Run test for
FQIT_2001_01.

DONE

Was the test successfully completed?

YES

COMMENT

NO

PREV STEP

CURR STEP

2 of 8

NEXT STEP

Debug

Reset Bit

Set Bit

CANCEL

CLOSE

FLUOR GLOBAL SERVICES

31

FIG 11

09/936080

WO 00/65361

14 / 28

PCT/US00/07962

Handwritten signature

TagName	Initial	LL Limit	L Limit	H Limit	HH Limit	Deadband
PT_2001_01	1				59.00	
PT_2001_02	1			40.00	42.00	
PT_2001_03	1	15.00			30.00	

Fr, r2

09/936080

09/936080

WO 00/65361

15 / 28

PCT/US00/07962

Alarm 6

Alm_Ind	QNum	Type	Question
A1	1 H		Did the analog display symbol flash RED on screen?
A1	1 H_DB		Is the alarm still active?
A1	1 H_RTN		Did the HIGH level alarm clear?
D1	1 Dig		Did the alarm activate?
D1	1 Dig_RTN		Did the alarm reset?
A1	1 L		Did the analog display symbol flash RED on screen?
A1	1 L_DB		Is the alarm still active?
A1	1 L_RTN		Did the LOW level alarm clear?
A1	2 H		Did the menu button for the display screen flash?
A1	2 L		Did the menu button for the display screen flash?
A1	3 H		Did the alarm text appear on "CURRENT ALARMS"?
A1	4 H		Did the SCADA Alarm paging system receive the alarm? (F12 for System

F11 13

09/936080

Theatlon

ID	TagName	Description	EUZero	EUMax	Eunit
	AIT_2001_01	Column Exit	2	12	pH
	AIT_2001_02	Column Exit	0	200	mmho
	AIT_2001_03	Column Exit	0	30	AU
	AIT_2001_04	Column Inlet	0	30	AU
	AIT_2001_05	Column Inlet	2	12	pH
	AIT_2001_06	Column Inlet	0	200	mmho
	PT_2001_01	Filter Inlet	0	60	psig
	PT_2001_02	Column Inlet	0	60	psig
	PT_2001_03	Column Exit	0	60	psig
	TT_2001_01	Feed	0	50	deg C
	TT_2001_02	Column Exit	0	50	deg C

Fis 14

WO 00/65361

17 / 28

09/936080

PCT/US00/07962

PID Loop

PID_Number	Loop_Desc	Process_TagName	Min_EU	Max_EU	EU_Name	SetPoint_TagName	Control
1	Controls filter	dP_2001_02	0	5	V	PT_2001_02	dPC_20
2		FIT_2001_01	0	15	L/min	FIC_2001_01SP	FIC_20

09/936080

Fi) 15

Section 70

ID	SectionNum	SectionStep	Instruction	
	2	20	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_105_ZCO and read	W
	2	21	From HMI open valve 106.	
	2	22	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_106_ZCO and read	W
	2	23	From HMI close valve 106.	
	2	24	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_106_ZCO and read	W
	2	25	From HMI open valve 107.	
	2	26	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_107_ZCO and read	W
	2	27	From HMI close valve 107.	
	2	28	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_107_ZCO and read	W
	2	29	From HMI open valve 108.	
	2	30	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_108_ZCO and read	W
	2	31	From HMI close valve 108.	
	2	32	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_108_ZCO and read	W
	2	33	From HMI open valve 109.	
	2	34	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_109_ZCO and read	W
	2	35	From HMI close valve 109.	
	2	36	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_109_ZCO and read	W
	2	37	From HMI open valve 110.	
	2	38	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_110_ZCO and read	W
	2	39	From HMI close valve 110.	
	1	1	Instauction 1	Q
	2	1	From HMI open valve 101.	
	2	2	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_101_ZCO and read	W
	2	3	From HMI close valve 101.	
	2	4	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_101_ZCO and read	W
	2	5	From HMI open valve 102.	
	2	6	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_102_ZCO and read	W
	2	7	From HMI close valve 102.	
	2	8	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_102_ZCO and read	W
	2	9	From HMI open valve 103.	
	2	10	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_103_ZCO and read	W
	2	11	From HMI close valve 103.	
	2	12	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_103_ZCO and read	W
	2	13	From HMI open valve 104.	
	2	14	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_104_ZCO and read	W
	2	15	From HMI close valve 104.	
	2	16	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_104_ZCO and read	W
	2	17	From HMI open valve 105.	
	2	18	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_105_ZCO and read	W
	2	19	From HMI close valve 105.	
	2	40	Click on "Test" button and select tag name XV_2001_110_ZCO and read	W
	3	1	Select PID test from test module menu. Run test for FQIT_2001_01.	W
	3	2	Select Totalizer test from test module menu. Run test for FQIT_2001_01.	W
	3	3	Select Indication test from test module menu. Run test for FQIT_2001_01.	W
	3	4	Select Indication test from test module menu. Run test for TT_2001_01.	W
	3	5	Select Indication test from test module menu. Run test for PT_2001_01.	W

Fig 16

09/936080

PCT/US00/07962

Section
List

WO 00/65361

19 / 28

Num	Name
1	General
2	Input Manifold
3	Filtration
4	Column
5	Output

09/936080

Fig 17

Sgs R-25

ID	Section	Requirement	Question
	G.1	1 Security requirements related to hardware:	
	G.1	2 Login requirements:	
	G.1.2	1 Password protection required (Yes/No):	
	G.1.2	2 Protection areas:	
	G.1.2	3 Number of security levels:	
	G.1.2	4 Security level names:	
	G.1.2	5 Configurable levels (Yes/No):	
	G.1.2	6 What can be configured?	
	G.1.2	7 System restrictions:	
	G.1.2	8 Other login requirements:	
	G.2	1 PLC Specifications:	
	G.2.1	1 Brand of PLC used:	
	G.2.1	2 Type of PLC used:	
	G.2.1	3 PLC quantity:	
	G.2	2 Operating Conditions	
	G.2.2	1 Ambient operating temperature range:	
	G.2.2	2 Relative humidity range:	
	G.2.2	3 RFI requirements:	
	G.2.2	4 Other operating condition requirements:	
	G.2	3 Hardware Requirements:	
	G.2.3	1 Mounting requirements:	
	G.2.3	2 Control network type:	
	G.2.3	3 Access ports:	
	G.2.3	4 I/O Network port required? (Yes/No)	
	G.2.3	5 Other hardware requirements:	
	G.2	4 PLC programming software:	
	G.2	5 Electrical Specifications:	
	G.2.5	1 Power supply:	
	G.2.5	2 Electrical isolation requirements:	
	G.2.5	3 Wiring requirements:	
	G.2.5	4 Other electrical requirements:	
	G.2	6 PLC Alarms:	
	G.2.6	1 Hardware alarms:	
	G.2.6	2 Software alarms:	
	G.2.6	3 LED indications for diagnostic points required?	
	G.2.6	4 Other PLC Alarms:	
	G.2	7 Other PLC specific requirements:	
	G.3	1 PC Specifications:	
	G.3.1	1 Will a PC be used? (Yes/No)	
	G.3.1	2 PC manufacturer preference:	
	G.3.1	3 PC type (e.g., Tower, Desktop, etc.):	
	G.3.1	4 PC processor type:	
	G.3.1	5 RAM (MB) required:	
	G.3.1	6 Number of PCs required:	
	G.3	2 Laptop Specifications:	
	G.3.2	1 Will a laptop be used? (Yes/No)	

Fig 18

09936080 034200

901

providing a control system to be tested, the control system having a controller-I/O communication channel and field I/O connectors

902

providing a test system

903

coupling the test system to the control system in a manner which allows the test system to communicate with and drive the control system by sending and receiving signals via both the controller-I/O communication channel and the field I/O connectors

Fig. 19

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age (years)	38.5	12.5	18	65
Gender (male/female)	15/15	0	0	30
Marital status (married/divorced/separated)	10/10/0	0	0	30
Education (years)	12.5	2.5	8	16
Occupation (unemployed/employed)	10/10	0	0	30
Income (€1000/month)	1.5	1.0	0.5	3.0
Health status (good/bad)	15/15	0	0	30
Smoking status (smoker/non-smoker)	10/10	0	0	30
Alcohol consumption (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Stress level (low/high)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression level (low/high)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction (low/high)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size (1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/10/11/12/13/14/15/16/17/18/19/20/21/22/23/24/25/26/27/28/29/30/31/32/33/34/35/36/37/38/39/40/41/42/43/44/45/46/47/48/49/50/51/52/53/54/55/56/57/58/59/60/61/62/63/64/65/66/67/68/69/70/71/72/73/74/75/76/77/78/79/80/81/82/83/84/85/86/87/88/89/90/91/92/93/94/95/96/97/98/99/100)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief (atheist/agnostic/deist/religious)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation (left/center/right)	10/10	0	0	30
Travel frequency (never/sometimes/often)	10/10	0	0	30
Work hours (hours/week)	40	10	0	60
Exercise frequency (times/week)	2	2	0	10
Food intake (calories/day)	2000	500	1000	3000
Sleep duration (hours/night)	8	2	4	12
Stress management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Travel frequency management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Work hours management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Exercise frequency management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Food intake management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Sleep duration management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Stress management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Travel frequency management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Work hours management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Exercise frequency management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Food intake management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Sleep duration management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Stress management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Travel frequency management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Work hours management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Exercise frequency management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Food intake management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Sleep duration management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Stress management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Travel frequency management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Work hours management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Exercise frequency management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Food intake management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Sleep duration management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Stress management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Depression management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Life satisfaction management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Family size management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Religious belief management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30
Political affiliation management management management management management (yes/no)	10/10	0	0	30

901

providing a control system to be tested, the control system having a controller-I/O communication channel and field I/O connectors

902

providing a test system

903

coupling the test system to the control system in a manner which allows the test system to communicate with and drive the control system by sending and receiving signals via both the controller-I/O communication channel and the field I/O connectors

904

decoupling the test system from the control system

905

installing the control system in a plant to be controlled

906b

utilizing at least a portion of the test system to validate the operation of the control system by coupling an I/O portion of the test system in place of at least some of the plant's sensors and actuators

Fig. 21

901

providing a control system to be tested, the control system having a controller-I/O communication channel and field I/O connectors

902

providing a test system

903

coupling the test system to the control system in a manner which allows the test system to communicate with and drive the control system by sending and receiving signals via both the controller-I/O communication channel and the field I/O connectors

904

decoupling the test system from the control system

905

installing the control system in a plant to be controlled

906c

utilizing at least a portion of the test system to validate the operation of the control system by having the test system provide instructions and questions to one or more plant operators thereby instructing them to perform various operations and prompting them to enter a description as to how the control system responded to the operations, and recording any operator entered descriptions to any questions asked

causing the test system to generate a report indicating which operations where performed, and what the control system response was for any operations performed

Fig. 22

911

providing a plant control system to be tested, the control system comprising a controller, an I/O interface, a sensor input port, an actuator output port, a series of communication segments communicatively coupling the sensor input port to the controller such that an input signal applied to the sensor input results in one or more signals traveling along each of the communication segments so as to notify the controller of the applied input signal, and a series of communication segments communicatively coupling the controller to the actuator output port such that a control signal generated by the controller results in an output signal being applied to the actuator output port

912

providing a simulator, the simulator coupled to the control system at at least three points, wherein a first point is the sensor input port, a second point is a controller-I/O interface network access point from which data relating to the internal state of the controller can be obtained, and a third point is the actuator output port

913

causing the simulator to apply a signal to the first point and to subsequently obtain data on the internal state of the controller and to monitor the actuator output port for any applied signals

Fig. 23

921

providing a plant control system to be tested, the control system comprising a controller, a sensor, an actuator, and an I/O interface having a sensor input port and an actuator output port wherein the I/O interface and controller are networked together, the sensor is electrically coupled to the sensor input port, and the actuator is electrically coupled to the actuator output port

922

disconnecting the sensor and actuator from the sensor input port and actuator output port

923

providing a simulator

924

networking the simulator with the controller and the I/O interface and coupling the simulator to the sensor input port and the actuator output port

925


causing the simulator to transmit a signal to the controller via the sensor input port while monitoring signals transmitted by the controller to the actuator port

926

determining any changes in state in the controller caused by the transmitted signal

Fig. 24

09/936080

**Fig. 25**

941

providing a plant control system to be tested, the control system comprising a controller, an input/output (I/O) interface in communication with the controller via a first communications channel, the I/O interface comprising a plurality of field I/O connectors; a plurality of sensors, and a plurality of actuators, wherein the plurality of sensors and the plurality of actuators are coupled to the field IO connectors via field wiring harnesses, and wherein the controller transmits signals to the actuators by transmitting a signal via the first communication channel to the I/O interface and the I/O interface transmitting a corresponding signal to the actuators via the field wiring harnesses, and the sensors send signals to the controller by sending a signal via the field wiring harnesses to the I/O interface and the I/O interface sending a corresponding signal to the controller via the first communication channel

942

providing a simulator capable of duplicating the signals sent by the sensors to the controller and capable of receiving the signals sent by the controller to the actuators

943

disconnecting at least some of the plurality of actuators and/or at least some of the plurality of sensors from the I/O interface

944

coupling the simulator to the I/O interface in place of at least some of the disconnected actuators and/or sensors

945

causing the simulator to sending signals to the controller via the I/O interface wherein the sent signals have similar characteristics to signals which the disconnected sensors could send

946

causing the simulator to receive signals from the controller via the I/O interface wherein the received signals have similar characteristics to signals which would be received by the disconnected actuators

947

monitoring the signals received by the simulator from the controller via the I/O interface

948

comparing the received signals to an expected value stored in a requirements database

Fig. 26



Practitioner's Docket No. 325.089-US1

PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

**(ORIGINAL, DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT, SUPPLEMENTAL, DIVISIONAL,
CONTINUATION, OR C-I-P)**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

TYPE OF DECLARATION

This declaration is for an original application.

INVENTORSHIP IDENTIFICATION

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name. I believe that I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter that is claimed, and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

TITLE OF INVENTION

Simulator Cart

SPECIFICATION IDENTIFICATION

The specification filed on September 7, 2001 and was assigned serial number 09/936080.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information, which is material to patentability as defined in 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

CLAIM FOR BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S)
(35 U.S.C. Section 119(e))

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

**PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER**

60/126,060

FILING DATE

March 25, 1999

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER

PCT/US00/07962

FILING DATE

March 23, 2000

POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby appoint the following practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

APPOINTED PRACTITIONER(S)

Robert D. Fish

David J. Zoetewey

Sandra Poteat

REGISTRATION NUMBER(S)33,88045,25846,2643

I hereby appoint the practitioner(s) associated with the Customer Number provided below to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO**DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:**

Robert D. Fish
714-641-5100

Robert D. Fish
Rutan & Tucker, LLP
611 Anton Blvd., 14th Floor
P.O. Box 1950
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
US
Customer Number 24392

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

1 D
D. Dwight Brayton
Inventor's signature

Date 2/7/02

D. Dwight Brayton
Country of Citizenship US

Residence Richland, WA US

Post Office Address Fluor Corporation, 1135 Jadwin Ave., MSIN B2-67, Richland, WA 99352 US

03095660

SD
Stephen G. Romero
Inventor's signature

Stephen G. Romero

Date 2/7/2002

Country of Citizenship US

Residence Richland, WA 99352

Post Office Address Fluor Corporation, 1135 Jadwin Ave., MSIN B2-67, Richland, WA WA 99352 US

2027129 00096553

350
~~Christopher S. Ghormley~~
Inventor's signature

Christopher S. Ghormley

Date Jan 31 2002

Country of Citizenship US

Residence Berkley, CA US

Post Office Address Fluor Corporation, 1135 Jadwin Ave., MSIN B2-67, Richland, WA 99352 US

WA

20250103092660

4-0
Mark E. Dallas

Inventor's signature

Mark E. Dallas

Date

2/8/02

Country of Citizenship US


Residence Valdez, AK US

Post Office Address Fluor Corporation, 1135 Jadwin Ave., MSIN B2-67, Richland, WA 99352 US

WA

2007-08-08 08:00:00

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☒ **Scanned copy is best available.**

Some drawing figures are very dark.